

# Letter Template: Typical Reviewer Comments Included in Manuscript Rejection Letters

Typical reviewer comments included in manuscript rejection letters often highlight concerns related to study design, data analysis, and the relevance or novelty of the research. Reviewers may point out methodological flaws, insufficient evidence supporting conclusions, or lack of clarity in the presentation of results. Additional comments frequently address issues with literature review, writing quality, or adherence to journal guidelines. These critiques aim to provide authors with constructive feedback to improve their work for future submissions, emphasizing the importance of rigor, originality, and clear communication in scholarly publishing. Including a **typical reviewer comments** section helps authors understand common reasons for rejection and guides them in refining their manuscripts effectively.

## Typical Reviewer Comments

- **Study Design:** The methodology is not adequately described, making it difficult to assess the validity of the results.
- **Data Analysis:** The statistical analyses are insufficient or inappropriate for the data presented.
- **Novelty/Relevance:** The manuscript lacks significant novelty or does not contribute new knowledge to the field.
- **Insufficient Evidence:** Conclusions are not fully supported by the data and results shown.
- **Clarity:** The manuscript requires significant editing for clarity and coherence.
- **Literature Review:** The literature review is incomplete or lacks recent references.
- **Writing Quality:** Numerous grammatical errors and unclear language impede understanding.
- **Journal Guidelines:** The manuscript does not follow the formatting or submission guidelines of the journal.
- **Ethical Concerns:** Ethical considerations or approvals are not sufficiently addressed.
- **Tables and Figures:** Figures and tables are unclear or do not effectively support the text.

*These comments are intended to help authors recognize frequent areas of concern and provide direction for improving their manuscripts prior to resubmission to this or another journal.*