Date: [Insert Date]

Dear [Author Name],

We appreciate your submission of the manuscript entitled "**[Manuscript Title]**" to the **[Conference Name]**. After a rigorous review process, we regret to inform you that your manuscript has not been accepted for presentation at this year's conference.

The decision was made based on the evaluations from our peer reviewers, whose assessments adhere to the conference's high standards of academic excellence. Below, you will find a summary of the reviewer ratings and comments provided for your submission:

Reviewer	Originality (1-5)	Technical Quality (1-5)	Clarity (1-5)	Significance (1-5)	Overall Recommendation
Reviewer 1	2	3	3	2	Reject
Reviewer 2	3	2	2	2	Reject
Reviewer 3	2	2	3	2	Reject

Reviewer Comments:

- **Reviewer 1:** The manuscript addresses a relevant topic but lacks originality in its approach. Key sections could benefit from clearer explanations and more thorough analysis.
- **Reviewer 2:** The technical quality is insufficient due to limited experimental validation. The paper would be strengthened by more comprehensive comparisons with related work.
- **Reviewer 3:** While the manuscript is clear overall, its significance to the field is limited, and the results fall short of the standards expected by the conference.

We encourage you to consider the feedback above for future revisions and submissions, as it is intended to provide guidance on improving your research and its presentation. Thank you for your interest in **[Conference Name]**. We hope you will consider submitting your work to us again.

Sincerely,
[Conference Chair Name]
[Conference Name] Program Committee